

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## PCT

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference <b>P19249PCT</b>	<b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b>	
	See item 4 below	
International application No. <b>PCT/US2005/024253</b>	International filing date ( <i>day/month/year</i> ) <b>08 July 2005 (08.07.2005)</b>	Priority date ( <i>day/month/year</i> ) <b>14 July 2004 (14.07.2004)</b>
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237		
Applicant <b>INTEL CORPORATION</b>		

<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).</li>   <li>2. This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.</li> </ol> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.</p>																
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:           <table border="0" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15%;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="width: 85%;">Box No. I Basis of the report</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. II Priority</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. VI Certain documents cited</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application</td> </tr> </table> </li> </ol>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. I Basis of the report	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. II Priority	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VI Certain documents cited	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. I Basis of the report															
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. II Priority															
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability															
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention															
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement															
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VI Certain documents cited															
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application															
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application															
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).</li> </ol>																

<p>The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland</p> <p>Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70</p>	<p>Date of issuance of this report <b>16 January 2007 (16.01.2007)</b></p> <p>Authorized officer <b>Masashi Honda</b> e-mail: pt08@wipo.int</p>
--	---

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

see form PCT/ISA/220

PCT

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing  
(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220		<b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b> See paragraph 2 below	
International application No. PCT/US2005/024253	International filing date (day/month/year) 08.07.2005	Priority date (day/month/year) 14.07.2004	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC INV. H04L9/08 H04L9/32			
Applicant INTEL CORPORATION			

### 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- Box No. II Priority
- Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

### 2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

### 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:  European Patent Office - Gitschner Str. 103 D-10958 Berlin Tel. +49 30 25901 - 0 Fax: +49 30 25901 - 840	Date of completion of this opinion see form PCT/ISA/210	Authorized Officer SAN MILLAN MAESO, J Telephone No. +49 30 25901-477
--	--	---



**Box No. I Basis of the opinion**

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of:  
 the international application in the language in which it was filed  
 a translation of the international application into \_\_\_\_\_, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).
2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
  - a. type of material:  
 a sequence listing  
 table(s) related to the sequence listing
  - b. format of material:  
 on paper  
 in electronic form
  - c. time of filing/furnishing:  
 contained in the international application as filed.  
 filed together with the international application in electronic form.  
 furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.  In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.  
PCT/US2005/024253

---

**Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or  
industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

---

**1. Statement**

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	1-45
	No: Claims	
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims	
	No: Claims	1-45
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	1-45
	No: Claims	

**2. Citations and explanations**

**see separate sheet**

**Re Item V**

**Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

**D1: MENEZES, VANSTONE, OORSCHOT: 1997, CRC PRESS LLC , USA ,  
XP002394263**

**D2: US 2004/103281 A1 (BRICKELL ERNIE F) 27 May 2004 (2004-05-27) cited in  
the application**

2. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-45 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

2.1. Independent claim 1

2.1.1. D1 consists of citations from the "Handbook of Applied Cryptography" which is a well-known textbook in the field of cryptography and discloses:

- (pages 321, 322, 330 and 472) generating an encrypted data structure associated with a device, the encrypted data structure comprising a private key and a private key digest;
- (pages 397 and 398) generating an identifier, based on a pseudo-randomly generated value, for the encrypted data structure;
- (page 472) storing the identifier and the encrypted data structure ~~in a signed group record on a removable storage medium; and~~
- (pages 397 and 398) storing the pseudo-random value ~~and a group number corresponding to the signed group record~~ ~~into non-volatile storage within the device.~~

2.1.2. Thus independent claim 1 cannot be considered as involving an inventive step because it would be obvious (see PCT International Search and Examination Guidelines, Chapter 13, Paragraph 13.13) for the skilled man to combine the technical features of D1 together and other well-known technical features and/or design options in order to arrive at the subject-matter of claim 1.

**2.2. Independent claims 14, 25 and 36**

The same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to the subject-matter of the corresponding independent claims 14, 25 and 36, which therefore are also considered not inventive.

**2.3. Dependent claims 2-13, 15-24, 26-35 and 37-45**

The features included in the above-mentioned dependent claims as far as they are not disclosed by D1 and/or D2 correspond to design options or are well-known in the field of Cryptography. It would therefore be obvious (see PCT International Search and Examination Guidelines, Chapter 13, Paragraph 13.13) for the skilled man to combine the teachings of D1 and D2 in order to arrive at the subject-matter of the dependent claims.

Therefore the subject-matter of claims 1-45 is not considered inventive in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

**3. It is not at present apparent which part of the application could serve as a basis for a new, allowable claim.**